

Annual Performance Review of Director

Purpose: Evaluate Director's performance on student achievement, operational effectiveness, financial oversight, and teacher evaluation.

Adherence to State Requirements: Items required by law are clearly indicated on the form(s)

Process:

- 1. Teachers/Staff and Parents are invited to provide feedback and input on Director's Performance
- President of School Board consolidates Teachers/Staff and Parent input and provides a summary to the School Board
- 3. School Board Directors complete annual Performance Review and provide individual ratings for each category
- President of School Board consolidates Board input and proposes a summary/recommendation to the Board of the category ratings
- 5. Board discusses data with Director and the Board comes to consensus on a final overall rating.

Timing: An annual review is required. If the Director receives a rating of Highly Effective, two years in a row, the Board may decide to forgo an annual review the following year. If the Director receives a Minimally Effective or Ineffective, the School Board President will recommend a Performance Improvement Plan to the Board. The School Board President will be responsible for monitoring (or assigning responsibility) of Director's adherence and results to Performance Improvement Plan.

Evaluation Criteria:

Ineffective	Minimally Effective	Effective	Highly Effective
No results have been demonstrated.	Minimal results have been demonstrated.	Results have met expectations in most	Results have exceeded in each area.
		areas.	

Research / Validity:

- Adherence to State requirements clearly indicted on forms
- Aligned with School Advance Principal Evaluation Framework & Rubrics, Reeves, P. & Mc Neill, P. 2011 and Reeves & McNeill, 2013.
- Customized evaluation form that is relevant for school size of 200 children
- Reviewed by qualified individual to confirm effectiveness (Rebecca Andree Masters in Human and Organizational Systems; 20+ years in evaluating performance effectiveness; 20 years in creation of processes/programs to evaluate individual and organizational performance effectiveness; Actively seeking PhD in Human and Organizational Development. Can be contacted at randree@email.fielding.edu)



2018/2019 Director Performance - Board Input

L. Please rate Director's level of effectiveness on 2018/2019 established quarterly priorities Minimally Effective - Effective - Results have Highly Effective - Results					
	Ineffective - No results have been demonstrated.	Minimally Effective - Minimal results have been demonstrated.	Effective - Results have met expectations in most areas.	have exceeded in each area.	
Q3 Priorities	\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
Q4 Priorities	\circ	\circ	<u></u>	\bigcirc	
Comments		,			



2018/2019 Director Performance - Board Input

	Ineffective - Tool doesn't comply / Not all evaluations are complete	Minimally Effective - Tool and /or evaluation are not compliant with all state required features	Effective - Tool and evaluation are compliant and complete	Highly Effective - Effective criteria plus teachers who are less than effective have IDPs in place.
Aggregate student growth data used in teacher year-end evaluations				
Evaluation Complete	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc
Comments				
3. Progress toward t	he school improvement	Minimally Effective - Plan		
3. Progress toward t	he school improvement Ineffective - No evidence of plans or progress		Effective - Plan in place, targeted at areas of need, some evidence of improvement	Comprehensive plan and
3. Progress toward t	Ineffective - No evidence	Minimally Effective - Plan in place, but no measured movement in	targeted at areas of need, some evidence of	Comprehensive plan and successfully addressing areas as evidenced by
	Ineffective - No evidence	Minimally Effective - Plan in place, but no measured movement in	targeted at areas of need, some evidence of	Comprehensive plan and successfully addressing areas as evidenced by
Existence of plan	Ineffective - No evidence	Minimally Effective - Plan in place, but no measured movement in	targeted at areas of need, some evidence of	Comprehensive plan and successfully addressing areas as evidenced by
Existence of plan Progress toward plan	Ineffective - No evidence	Minimally Effective - Plan in place, but no measured movement in	targeted at areas of need, some evidence of	Comprehensive plan and successfully addressing areas as evidenced by
Existence of plan Progress toward plan	Ineffective - No evidence	Minimally Effective - Plan in place, but no measured movement in	targeted at areas of need, some evidence of	Comprehensive plan and successfully addressing areas as evidenced by
Existence of plan Progress toward plan	Ineffective - No evidence	Minimally Effective - Plan in place, but no measured movement in	targeted at areas of need, some evidence of	Comprehensive plan and successfully addressing areas as evidenced by

4. Student attendand	ce (requirea)			
	Ineffective - is not being addressed	Minimally Effective - is not an area of focus, attendance or graduation rates fluctuate	Effective - Areas of focus, attendance and graduate rates are increasing	
Student attendance	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Graduation rate	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		
Comments				
,				
5. Student/Parent/Te	acher Feedback [requi	red]		
	Ineffective - concerns aren't sought or considered	Minimally Effective - concerns are sought as a required component. There's no reporting of results.	Effective - concerns are sought and generally noted, but not formally reported.	Highly Effective - activel surveyed for their concerns and suggestions; results are reported.
Students	\circ	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc
Parents	\circ	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Teachers		\bigcirc		\circ
Comments				